Decision of Regional Transport Authority (RTA), Malappuram Meeting held on

29.09.2015 at Collectorate Conference Hall, Malappuram at 10.30.a.m.

Present:

1. Sri. T. Bhaskaran IAS, District Collector, Chairman of RTA, Malappuram.

2. Sri. V. Sureshkumar, Deputy Transport Commissioner (C Z 1), Member, RTA.

Item No.1

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh regular permit on the route Kottakkal – Vengara (via) Chankuvetti, AV College Jn, Chodalappara, Puthuparamba, Karattangadi, Manhemad, Bridge, Mini Bazaar, Puthanangadi and Kacheripadi with cut trip to Puthuparamba and halt at Puthuparamba as ordinary service. The matter was placed before the RTA held on 28.05.2014 in item no 18 and the decision on the application was adjourned for want of a road fitness certificate for the virgin portions of the route from Karattangadi to Mini Bazar and Puthanangadi to Kacheripadi.

After obtaining the road fitness certificate, the matter was again placed before this authority held on 21.05.2015 in item no. 2 and the decision was adjourned by directing the Secretary, RTA to re-enquire and ascertain the exact distance between Chankuvetti and AVS College Junction in the notified sector and place before next RTA.

The matter was re-enquired by the MVI, Tirurangadi and as per his report the distance between Changuvetti and AVS College Jn is 500 Mts., which is below the permissible limits. MVI has also reported that the introduction of new bus service will be highly beneficial to the travelling public and students. In the above circumstances regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No.2

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh regular permit on the route Vengara – Kottakkal – Chemmad (via) Tharayittal, Mini Bazar, Manhemad Palam, Karattangadi, Puthuparamba, Ayurveda College Jn, Chenkuvetti, Muthalamad, Mannilpilakkal, Kooriyad, Kakkad and PSMO college with one round trip in the morning from Vengara to Kadampuzha via Iringallur, Kottakkal, Kottoor, Maravattam; return (via) Marakkara, Kottakkal, Chankuvetti, AV College Jn, Pottippara, Kavilmunambu kadavu and Tharayittal and with one round trip in the night from Vengara to Padikkal (via) Cheroor, Kunnumpuram, Kariyankallu, Parambilpeedika as ordinary service. The matter was placed

before the RTA held on 28.05.2014 in item no 19 and the decision on the application was adjourned for want of a road fitness certificate for the virgin portions of the route from Mini Bazar to Karattangadi on a distance of 1.5kms
After obtaining the road fitness certificate, the matter was placed before the RTA held on 21. 05. 2015 in item no. 3. However, the decision on the application was adjourned by directing the Secretary, RTA to re-enquire the matter and ascertain the exact distance between Chankugetti to AVS College Jn. in the notified sector and place before next RTA.
The matter was re-enquired by the MVI, Tirurangadi and as per his report the distance between Changuvetti and AVS College Jn is 500 Mts.
Again, this authority considered the application in detail and feels that the proposed trips to Kadampuzha in the early morning at 4.00 a.m. and also trips to Padikkal (via Kunnumpuram) during late night are only for avoiding the effect of notified portion in the proposed route. From the proposal and time schedule, it is clear that the intention of the applicant is to conduct service in the Vengara –Kottakkal-Chemmad Sector, which is against the interest of notification and State Transport Undertakings. Thus this authority is of the opinion that this application is not intended to the public convenience. Hence the application for fresh stage carriage permit is **rejected.**

Item No. 3
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Thavanur – Kuttippuram via. Moovankara, Kadakkasserry, Vellancherry, Mathirasserry and Mallur temple and Mini Pampa, with Thavanur – Puthanathani 2 single trips in the morning via Moovankara, Kadakkasserry, Vellancherry, Mathirasserry, and Mallur Temple and Mini Pampa, Kuttippuram, Chembikkal, Oorathpalliyali, Athavanad, Kattilangadi, Kurumbathur and Bavapadi, Puthanathani, Kalpakancherry, Vylathur, Tirur, Ezhur, Vyrankode, Patternadakkvu and Thirunavaya as ordinary service.
The matter was earlier placed before this authority held on 21.05.2015 in item no. 17 and the decision on the application was adjourned by directing the Secretary, RTA to
(1) submit a modified time schedule which is viable and useful to the general public.
(2) enquire the matter on the condition of the road under dispute and obtain fitness certificate, if necessary; and place the matter in the next RTA.
In obedience to the decision of RTA, the applicant had submitted a modified time schedule and the same was enquired by the MVI, SRT office Thirurangadi. The applicant also produced the certificate of fitness for the road in dispute.
This authority has considered the application, observations of route enquiry officer and the objections raised by other operators at the time of open hearing in detail.
In these circumstances, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will

be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 4

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pookkottumpadam – Wandoor. The applicant has produced the registration certificate of the stage carriage KL 10 U 9606. The matter was placed before RTA held on 04.02.2015 in item No. 22 and the decision was adjourned for the following reasons:

1. The specific line of traverse is not clear from the proposed time sheet and sketch. For example the exact route and intermediate points are not mentioned in the morning trip from Wandoor to Kalikavu (Dep. From Wandoor 7.45 a.m.).
2. From the application and sketch it is found that this route is not having any specific termini and number of trips in a particular route.

This authority feels that the entire route, sketch and time sheet is vague and is not beneficial for the common public to meet their travelling needs. Hence

1. The applicant is directed to submit a modified application denoting specific route, intermediate points, termini and time schedule and
2. The Secretary, RTA is directed to conduct a fresh route enquiry on the modified application and place before next RTA.

This authority has considered the modified application submitted by the applicant and the report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, SRT Office, Nilambur. As per the report of the Officer, Proposed route is mainly through tribal settlement and ill served area in Nilambur Taluk. Introduction of fresh bus service on the proposed route will be highly beneficial to the general public.

In these circumstances, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 5

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the Wandoor – Pandikkad intra-district route.

This authority had considered the application in detail and perused the records and documents. Field officer has reported that there is no overlapping with notified portion and the proposed route mainly passes through ill served areas. Introduction of fresh bus service on the proposed route will be highly beneficial to the general public.

Fare stages fixed by the route enquiry officer was considered by this authority, the same is found satisfactory and approved since there was no major

objections from public for the same. Also the applicant has produced a copy of the road fitness certificate for the virgin portion.
Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 6
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the Elambra – Manjeri – Pookkoottumpadam intra-district route. The matter was placed before RTA held on 04.02.2015 in item no. 27 and the decision was adjourned since there was no specific route or routes for conducting the service. Also, the applicant has proposed several trips from point to point without specifying a particular route or routes and this authority had directed the applicant to submit a modified application with specific route or routes with considerable number of trips and place before next RTA. The modified application submitted by the applicant is found satisfactory. The field officer has reported that there is no virgin portion or notified area in the route and also the entire route is through ill served and interior areas connecting Manjeri and Wandoor. Introduction of bus service will be beneficial for people visiting Manjeri Medical College. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 7
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for a fresh stage carriage on the route Karthala – Vendallur. This application was considered by this authority held on 21.05.2015 in item no. 31 and the decision on the application was adjourned since the applicant was absent. The submission of the applicant for re-considering the matter was found genuine and this authority had considered the application with report of the enquiry officer in detail. As per the report of the Officer, there is an overlapping of 0.4 Kms with the notified route and which is not objectionable. He has reported that there is no virgin portion in the route and the route is passing through ill –served interior areas. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without

further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 8

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Nilambur – Nellikkuth. The matter was placed before RTA held on 21.05.2015 in item no. 34 and the decision was adjourned with a direction to the Secretary, RTA to obtain road fitness certificate from a competent authority for the virgin portion and place the application before the next meeting of this authority. On perusal of records it is observed that the road fitness certificate for the virgin portion was produced. Also the fare stages fixed by the route enquiry officer was considered by this authority, the same is found satisfactory and approved since there was no major objections from public for the same. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed route passes through ill served areas in Nilambur Taluk and also connects several colonies like Palankara SC Colony, Vattapadam Kurumban Colony, Nellikkuth ST Colony with Nilambu town. He has also mentioned that the introduction of bus service on the proposed route will be beneficial to the traveling public. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 9

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh stage carriage on the route Kadampuzha – Karambathur This application was considered by this authority held on 21.05.2015 in item no. 50 and the decision on the application was adjourned since the applicant was absent. The submission of the applicant for re-considering the matter was found genuine and this authority had considered the application with report of the enquiry officer in detail. As per the report of the Officer, there is an overlapping of 2.3 Kms with the notified route and which is not objectionable. He has reported that there is no virgin portion in the route and the route is passing through ill –served interior areas. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 10

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Vengara – Perumparamba – Kottakkal. The matter was placed before the RTA

held on 21.05.2015 in item no. 51 and the decision was adjourned with a direction to the Secretary, RTA to obtain a road fitness certificate for the virgin portion mentioned (Karathode to Vyavasayakendram) in the report of the enquiry officer. However, the applicant was submitted a letter stating that a stage carriage KL 10 AE 608 is operating through Karathode to Vyavasayakendram route and this portion cannot be considered as a virgin portion and there is no need to seek road fitness certificate. The matter was re-enquired by the Motor Vehicle Inspector, Malappuram and he has ascertained the claim of the applicant and there is no virgin portion in the said route. This authority considered the matter in detail and perused the documents. The error occurred in the report of the enquiry officer is condoned and earlier decision for seeking road fitness certificate of this authority held on 21.05.2015 in item no. 51 is revoked. The application was considered afresh and perused the related documents. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 11

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Edakkara – Karulai. This authority considered the application in detail and perused the related documents. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 700 Mts. with the notified sector and which is not objectionable. There is also a virgin portion of 4.6 Kms. The fare stages fixed by the route enquiry officer was considered by this authority, the same is found satisfactory and approved since there was no major objections from public for the same. However the road fitness certificate is not seen produced for the virgin portion. Hence, the Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain road fitness certificate for the virgin portion from a competent authority and place before next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

Item No. 12

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Manjeri – Kzhisseri Via Pookolathur, Thripanachi, Palakkad with halt at Manjeri as ordinary service. This application has been considered by this authority in its meeting held on 25.02.2005 & 23.05.2005 and adjourned the decision on the application for want of current records of a stage carriage. The applicant has submitted an application to re-consider his application and again considered by this authority held on 21.05.2015 in item no. 30 and the decision on the application with the following directions:

, (1) the applicant is directed to submit a time schedule and application with all intermediate points on the proposed route. (2) Secretary, RTA is directed to re-enquire the matter and place a specific report in the next RTA.
This authority has examined the application and related documents in detail. The route enquiry officer has stated in his modified report that there is an overlapping of 1.5 Kms with the notified sector and the total route length is 17.5 Kms, which is above the permissible limits and violation of Clause 5 (c) of G.O. (P) No. 42/2009/Trans. Dtd. 14.07.2009. Hence the application for regular permit is **rejected.**
The applicant has submitted a request to modify the application by extending the route to Edavannappara using the earlier fee paid by him. This is not acceptable and this authority finds no reason to consider a modified application with an extended route at this point of time since the introduction of extension is only escape from the menace of excessive overlapping.

Item No. 13
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Edakkara – Cheerapadam – Nellikkuth with halt at Karulayi. This authority has considered the application and perused the related documents. As per the report of the enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 0.7 Kms with the notified route and which is not objectionable. Also, there is a virgin portion of 12.8 Kms in the proposed route. The fare stages fixed by the route enquiry officer was considered by this authority, the same is found satisfactory and approved since there was no major objections from public for the same. Road fitness certificate is also obtained for the virgin portion. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 14
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Tanur – Tirur-Koottai (via) Puthiyakadappuram, Unniyal, Paravanna and Kalad as Ordinary Service. This authority had considered the application and perused related documents in detail. The route enquiry officer has reported that the proposed route overlaps with notified route Ponnani – Chelari (GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014) for 3.7 Kms in two different points. However he has not mentioned whether the overlapping is objectionable or not as per clause 5 (c) of the notification. The Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain a specific report in this regard and place the application with modified report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 15
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Padapparamba – Malappuram – Kottappuram. The application was considered by this authority in detail and perused related documents. The route enquiry officer has reported that there is no virgin portion and there is an overlapping of 2.1 Kms with notified route which is not objectionable. He has also reported that the introduction of new bus service will be highly beneficial to the travelling public of the area. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 16
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Vekkode – Manjeri. The application was considered by this authority in detail and perused related documents. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is no virgin portion on the route and there is a total of 2.2 Kms overlapping with notified portion and which is not objectionable. However, in the open hearing of RTA, there were vehement objections on the reported distance on the notified portion. The Secretary, RTA is directed to re-enquire the distance on the notified portion and place a detailed report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

Item No. 17
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Mampad – Wandoor. The application was considered in detail. However the time schedule given in the agenda does not match with the application and proposed route. There were also many objections in this regard. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to re-examine the matter and placed before next RTA. Decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 18
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Wandoor - Nilambur. The application was considered in detail. However the time schedule given in the agenda does not match with the application and proposed route. There were also many objections in this regard. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to re-examine the matter and placed before next RTA. Decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 19
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Pandikkad – Edappatta Railway. This authority has considered the matter in detail. The field officer has reported that there is a virgin portion of 2.5 Kms. on the proposed route and on perusal of records it is observed that the road fitness certificate is produced. Fare stage fixed by the route enquiry officer (at Ramanthuruthy) was considered by this authority and found feasible. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 20
Heard the learned counsel.
Tis is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Pandikkad – Kottothumkunne Harijan Colony. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the records. The field officer has reported that there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified sector. He has also reported that the proposed route is mainly passes through ill served and remote areas. There is only one bus is operating on the route and the present stage carriage operation is in sufficient to occupy the passenger load of the area. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 21
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pandikkad – Kappu Mappila LP, UP, High School Padi. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused relevant records. As per the report of the field enquiry officer, there is a virgin portion of 4.2 Kms. in the proposed route. Road fitness certificate is produced. The fare stages fixed by the route enquiry officer was verified, the same is found satisfactory and approved since there was no major objections from public for the same. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 22
Heard the learned counsel.
This application for fresh stage carriage permit is on the route Kozhikkode Palayam Stand – Adakkakundu. The applicant intends to operate as a Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS) on the above said route. As per the report of the enquiry officer, there is no direct bus service in the entire route and portion of the route is moderately served by stage carriages. He has also reported that several educational institutions are located in the proposed route. This authority discussed the matter in detail and feels that by limiting the number of stops common public and travelling students will not be benefited. Moreover, there is no category of service as Limited Stop Ordinary Service in MV Act and rules. Since this is an inter-district route, concurrence from Sister RTA, Kozhikkode is also essential. In the above circumstances, Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain a modified application from the applicant as Ordinary Service and seek concurrence from Sister RTA Kozhikkode and place it before next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

Item No. 23
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Thalakkappu-Kottakkal-Kadampuzha. The application was considered by this authority in detail and perused relevant records. As per the report of the field officer, there is 2.6 Kms. overlapping with notified sector and no virgin portion in the proposed route. However the applicant has proposed several trips from point to point without specifying a particular route or routes. The intention of the service as per the proposal and route does not serve the travelling requirement of a particular area. Hence the applicant is directed to submit a modified application with specific route or routes with considerable number of trips and place before next RTA.
Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 24
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Thalakkappu-Kottakkal-Kadampuzha. The application was considered by this authority in detail and perused relevant records. As per the report of the field officer, there is 2.6 Kms. overlapping with notified sector and no virgin portion in the proposed route. However The applicant has proposed several trips from point to point without specifying a particular route or routes. The intention of the service as per the proposal and route does not serve the travelling requirement of a particular area. Also, there were objections from other en-route operators on the distance between Kottakkal- Kadampuzha and Kottakkal – Thalakkappu. Hence the applicant is directed to submit a modified application with specific route or routes with considerable number of trips and also the Secretary RTA is directed to verify the exact distance and time between points raised by the objectors and place before next RTA.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 25
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Ottumpuram – Tanur. This authority has considered the application in detail and verified the documents. Total route length of this permit is 7 Kms and this authority feels that this will be beneficial for short distance passengers. Also, as per the report of the field officer, the proposed route passes through ill served and remote areas and connect various fishermen colonies to Tanur town. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 26
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit to operate on the route Manjeri – Kizhisseri- Edavannappara. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the connected files. Route Enquiry Officer has reported that the total route length is 31 Kms and there is an overlapping of 1.5 Kms with notified sector. On further examination of the application this authority feels that even though the route is Manjeri-Kizhsseri-Edavannappara, only name sake trips are proposed to Edavannappara and the extension to Edavannappara is only to escape from the notified portion. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire the matter and suggest a modified application with more number of trips to Edavannappara and place before next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 27
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Vairankode Temple – Payyanad Stadium. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused the connected files. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 2.8 Kms. with the notified sector. However in the open hearing of RTA, representative from KSRTC has raised vehement objection regarding the total overlapping distance with notified portion. As per the statement submitted by the KSRTC, total overlapping distance is 3 Kms. This authority is of the opinion that the objections raised by the KSRTC has to be examined in detail and the Secretary RTA is directed to re-enquire the matter and submit a detailed report in the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 28
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Chemmad – Tirur. This authority has considered the matter in detail and perused relevant documents. As per the report of the enquiry officer there is no virgin portion and the proposed route does not overlaps with the notified portion. He has also reported that the proposed permit is highly beneficial for the students of newly started Meenadathur High School. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 29
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Tanur – Koottai-Ottumpuram. This authority has considered the matter in details and perused the connected files. The route enquiry officer has reported that there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with the notified sector. He has also reported that the proposed permit is highly beneficial to the travelling public and students. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 30
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for the fresh stage carriage permit on the route Edavannappara – Kakkad-Vengara. This authority has considered the application and examined relevant documents. As per the report of the enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 1.6 Kms with the notified sector and since the route length is 58.4; the overlapping is not objectionable. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 31
Herd the learned counsel.
This application is for the fresh stage carriage permit on the route Tirur – Mangalam. This authority has considered the application and perused relevant documents. Route enquiry officer has reported that there is an overlapping of

4.5 Kms with the Ponnani – Chelari notified route (GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014). However he has not mentioned whether the overlapping is objectionable or not as per clause 5 (c) of the notification. The Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain a specific report in this regard and place the application with modified report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

Item No. 32

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Purathur – Tirur. This authority has considered the application and perused the files. Route enquiry officer has reported that there is an overlapping of 7.5 Kms with the Ponnani – Chelari notified route (GO (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014). However he has not mentioned whether the overlapping is objectionable or not as per clause 5 (c) of the notification. The Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain a specific report in this regard and place the application with modified report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

Item No. 33

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Malappuram – Kottakkal – Kadampuzha. This authority has considered the application and perused related documents in detail. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is an overlapping of 1.1 Kms. with the notified sector and the same is not objectionable. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 34

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Edavannappara – Edavanna Bus stand. This authority has considered the application and perused the documents. The route enquiry officer has stated that there is an overlapping of one Kilometer with the notified portion. He has also reported that there is only one trip is proposed in Kondotty – Aroor route. The verification of proposed time schedule revealed that the applicant has also proposed only one name sake trip to this interior area. This authority feels that this is against the interest of the common public of that area. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to modify the application with more number of trips to Aakkode – Arror and place the modified application in the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 35
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Edavnnappara – Edavanna Bus stand. This authority has considered the application and perused the related documents in detail. The route enquiry officer has reported that there is an overlapping of 0.3 Kms with the notified sector. He has also reported that the Kondotty – Aroor and Edavannappara – Aroor are ill-served and present stage carriage operations are not sufficient to meet the passenger needs. On verification of the proposal submitted by the applicant, it is found that he has proposed only namesake trips to these ill-served area. Hence this authority is of the opinion that the Secretary, RTA has to conduct a re-enquiry and submit a modified proposal with more number of trips to these interior, ill-served portions for the benefit of the travelling public and place before next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 36
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pandikkad – Wandoor. This authority has considered the application and perused the connected files. The route enquiry officer has reported that there is no overlapping with notified sector. He has also stated that the present stage carriage operation is insufficient to meet the traveling needs of the public. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 37
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Mampad – Wandoor-Edavanna. This authority has considered the application and verified the connected files. The field officer has reported that there is a virgin portion of 4 Kms. in the proposed route and also there is an overlapping of 1 Km. with notified sector. As per the application only one single trip is proposed to Veetikkunne Colony. This authority feels that more number of trips have to be operated to the colony for the benefit of the inhabitants of the colony. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to modify the proposal with more number of trips to Veetikkunne Colony and place the modified application in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 38
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Mundakkal Panchayath- Kondotty- Manjeri – Edavannappara. This authority has

considered the application and perused related documents. The route enquiry officer has reported that there is an overlapping of 2.5 Kms with the notified sector, which is permissible. He has also reported that the introduction of a fresh stage carriage permit on the route is highly beneficial to the travelling public. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 39

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Ozhukur – Edavannappara. This authority has considered the application and perused the connected files. The field office has reported that there is an overlapping of 1.8 Kms with the notified sector, which is permissible.. He has also pointed out that the present stage carriage operation is insufficient to occupy the passenger load of the area and introduction of fresh bus service on the proposed route will be highly beneficial to the general public. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 40

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Chembrasseri – Pandikkad. The application was considered and perused the documents. There was a typing error occurred in the published agenda and the Secretary, RTA has submitted an Erratum agenda and the same was approved. The route enquiry officer has reported that the proposed route is mainly through ill served and remote areas. The route Chembrasserri – Pandikkad – Melattur – Wandoor is not adequately served by stage carriages and the present stage carriage operation is insufficient to occupy the passenger load of the area. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 41
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Pookkottumpadam – Manjeri – Karuvarakkundu. This authority has considered the application and perused relevant documents. As per the report of the enquiry officer there is an overlapping of 5 Kms with the notified area and is not objectionable. He has also reported that the proposed route passes through ill-served areas. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 42
Duplication.

Item No. 43
Heard the learned counsel.
This authority has perused the judgment of Hon. STAT MVAA No 17/2014 dtd 12.03.2015 and in obedience to the directions in the judgment, re-considered the application for variation.
This is an application for regular variation of permit with respect to the stage carriage KL-09-M-3706 operating on the route Ayankalam-Sraikadavu. The route enquiry officer has reported that Extension of trip from Kumaranellur to Padinharangadi will benefit traveling public. However the effect of the curtailment to the travelling public is not clear. He has not measure the intensity of services through the existing and proposed routes. This authority feels that the report is vague and unclear. Also, there were objections in the open forum of RTA against the proposed curtailment. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to enquire the matter once again and submit a specific report with adequate statistical evidences and place before next RTA. The decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 44
Heard the learned counsel.
This is an application for variation of permit in respect of the Stage Carriage KL-10 R 8181 on the route Thavannur – Changaramkulam. The permit holder applied for providing additional trip on the Edappal, Nariparamba sector and to deviate 5th single trip on the Edappal Changaramkulam route from Naduvattam to Manthadam via Panthavoor on the existing regular route. This authority has considered the application and perused relevant documents. The route enquiry officer has stated that the portion of the route of the stage carriage overlaps with notified sector from Nariparamba to Ayankalam (6.3 kms). However from his report it is not clear that the requested additional trips

passes through the notified portion and violates clause 19 of the notification 42/2009. Hence this authority is of the opinion that Secretary, RTA has to obtain a specific report with details of additional trips and place before next RTA. In this circumstance the decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 45

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for regular variation of service in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-AJ-4440 operating on the route Perinthalmanna-Karakkode. The permit holder has applied for variation of permit so as to extend from Karakkode to Putharippadam (via) Karakkode temple (4 single trips) on the existing regular route without curtailment and without changing existing timings. This authority has considered the application and perused relevant documents in details. As per the report of the enquiry officer the extension is sought for 2 Kms and is highly beneficial to the general travelling public of that area and thus the request for variation complies with Section 80(3) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for variation is **granted** subject to production of current records of KL 10 AJ 4440 within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 46

Heard the learned counsel.

To consider the application for regular variation of service in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-S-5607 operating on the route Mamankara –Munderi – Kodalipoil – Panamkayam - Murikanhiram. The permit holder has applied for variation of permit so as to deviate 3 single trips(proposal 8.45am and 10.15 am from Edakkara & 5.35pm from Pothukallu) via Udhirakulam,Chembankolli and Uppada Kuriz instead of via Uppada-Nallamthanni and to rearrange one single trip (4.20pm to operate (via) Palunda on the Edakkara-Pothukallu sector on the existing route. This authority has considered the application and perused the connected files in detail. The route enquiry officer has reported that the variation is beneficial for the travelling public of the area and thus the request for variation complies with Section 80(3) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for variation is **granted** subject to production of current records of KL 10 S 5607 within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 47

Heard the learned counsel.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

This is an application for regular variation of service in r/o the stage carriage KL-55-6788 operating on the route Chungathara – Palenkara. The permit holder of the S/C KL-55-6788 has applied for variation of permit so as to provide extension from Chungathara to Vellimittam (via) Pallippadi ,Ambalappadi,Kaippini,Mundappadam junction Kurumbalangode,Kolompadam and Koomanthode (2 single trips) and 2 additional single trips betweenPalankara and Karulai by route curtailment from Pookkottumpadam to Karuvarakundu and trip curtailment from Karulai to Pookkottumpadam on the existing regular route. This authority has considered the application and perused relevant documents. As per the report of the enquiry officer, this variation request involves a trip curtailment of 20 Kms and an extension of 11.9 Kms. He has also stated that this much curtailment in existing route does not affect adversely the travelling public. However this authority could not find any statistical evidence or supportive facts in his report for the same and strongly feels that this report is not supportive to take a proper approach to the application. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire the matter in detail and report whether the advantage is outweighed the disadvantage with adequate statistical evidence and place a detailed specific report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 48

Heard the learned counsel.

To consider the application for regular variation of service in r/o the stage carriage KL-10-AC-4116 operating on the route Manjeri-Mannarkkad. The Permit holder of the S/C KL-10-AC-4116 has applied for variation of permit so as to extend from Kottopadam to Kacheriparamba (2 single trips) via Parappuram by curtailment from Kottopadam to Mannarkkad(2 singles) and existing route. This authority has considered the application and related documents in detail. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the curtailment of Kottappadam to Mannarkkad (7 Kms) will be a great help to the travelling public. However this authority could not find any statistical evidence or supportive facts in his report for the same and strongly feels that this report is not supportive to take a proper approach to the application. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire the matter in detail and report whether the advantage is outweighed the disadvantage with adequate statistical evidence and place a detailed specific report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 49

Heard the learned counsel.r

This is an application to peruse the Judgment in MVAA NO.121/2015 of Hon. STAT and also to consider the application for regular variation of service in respect of the stage carriage KL-58-B-6687 operating on the route Kottappara – Anjilangadi -Kozhikkode Medical College as Limited stop Ordinary service so as to curtail two single trips between Pandikkad and Manjeri (Pandikkad departure time 6.24 pm and Manjeri departure time 7.20pm) on the existing

regular route for passing Pandikkad at 6.20pm by advancing timing of the halting single trip without changing existing timings. This authority has perused the Judgment of Hon. STAT and considered the application and perused connected files. From the report of route enquiry officer this authority feels that the effect of the curtailment to the travelling public is not clear and no attempt has been made by the officer to measure the intensity of services through the existing and proposed routes. Thus the report is vague and unclear. Also, there were objections in the open forum of RTA against the proposed curtailment. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to enquire the matter once again and submit a specific report with adequate statistical evidences and place before next RTA. The decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 50

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for regular variation of service in r/o the stage carriage KL-07-BG-3242 operating on the route Maruthakkadavu-Thalippadam-Chettiyarangadi. The permit holder of the S/C KL-07-BG-3242 has applied for variation of permit so as to extend from Thalippadam to Karappuram(via) Vettilangadi and KarappuramSchoolpadi (6 single trips) and from Chettiyarangadi to Nambooripotti ,Pallippadi (via) Ambalappadi (4 single trips) without curtailment on the existing regular route. This authority has considered the application in detail and perused the files. On verification of the agenda and notes an error is apparent in the proposed time schedule. Instead of Nambooripotti it was typed as Karuvarakkunde. This authority considered this as a clerical oversight and it is condoned. Objections in this regard are overruled. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed variation includes extension from Thalipadam to Karappura (2.7kms) and Chettiyarangadi to Nambooripotty Pallippad (1.6km); there in no curtailment. He has also reported that the proposed variation will be beneficial to the travelling public and thus the request for variation complies with Section 80(3) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for variation is **granted** subject to production of current records of KL 07 BG 3242 within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 51

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to peruse the Judgment in WP(C) No.17389/2015 of Hon. High Court of Kerala dated 11/06/2015 and also to re-consider the application for regular variation of service in respect of the stage carriage KL-60-3099 operating on the route Thaliyamkundu-Kozhikkode as Ordinary Service. The application is to convert the existing category of service as Limited stop ordinary service on the existing regular route. This authority had perused the directions contained in the Judgment of Hon. High Court of Kerala and re-

considered the application. Also, verified the related documents in detail. The application was considered by this authority held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 59 and adjourned the decision for want of a list of stops and specific remarks from the enquiry officer. Again the application was placed before this authority held on 04.02.2015 and rejected the same since the applicant has stated that he is not intended to alter or change any of the existing stops but only the nature of service. Now the applicant has produced a Judgment from Hon. High Court of Kerala in WP(C) No.17389/2015, dated 11/06/2015 in which the Hon. Court has directed this authority to consider the application and pass appropriate orders. In obedience to the direction of Hon. Court this authority has considered the application for variation of service. However this authority could not find any statistical evidence or supportive facts in the report of the enquiry officer on the variation of permit condition. This authority strongly feels that the presnet report is not supportive to take a proper approach to this application. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire the matter in detail and report whether the advantage is outweighed the disadvantage with adequate statistical evidence and place a detailed specific report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 52

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-AR-9277 operating on the route Palakkad-Kozhikkode as LSOS. The request is to provide one additional trip from Kozhikkode to Palakkad (after existing halting time) to change the alternate halting place on alternate days by conducting 4 single trips on the existing regular route. This authority has re-considered the application and perused the documents. Secretary, RTA has reported that the matter was placed before RTA held on 04/02/2015 and adjourned the decision on the application by this authority stating that the variation of permit can be only entertained during its validity. Now the permit is renewed with validity up to 01/11/2018. He has also submitted that the permit is under suspended animation as per the Judgment in WP(C) No.14671/2015 of Hon. High Court. A permit-less certificate was issued accordingly. Even though the permit is renewed the same is under suspended animation and not in operational now. This authority is of the opinion that a variation will be beneficial for the public when the permit is in operational condition. Hence this application for variation does not comply with 80(3) of MV Act and is not maintainable at present. Hence the permit holder is directed to replace the permit under suspended animation with a suitable stage carriage and make the permit operational and then place the variation application before this authority. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned.**

Item No. 53

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to reconsider the regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-AK-3921 operating on the route Chulliyode-Calicut

Medical College. The request is for curtailing the route from Calicut bus stand to Calicut Medical College without changing existing permit. The matter was placed before this authority held on 21/05/2015 and adjourned the decision by directing the Secretary, RTA to re-enquire the matter in detail and place a detailed specific report in the next RTA. This authority had re-considered the matter and perused the documents including the revised report of the enquiry officer. As per the revised report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, the proposed variation includes curtailment from Calicut bus stand to Calicut Medical college (7.5km). The route Calicut bus stand to Calicut Medical College is oversaturated by City services and newly introduced low-floor KURTC buses and other services. There is terrible traffic congestion at Thondayad Bye-pass Junction and the proposed curtailment may not adversely affect the travelling facility on the sector. Thus the request for variation complies with Section 80(3) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for variation is **granted** subject to production of current records of KL 10 AK 3921 within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 54

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to reconsider the regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-Y-9099 operating on the route Pookkottumpadam-Kozhikkode. The matter was placed before this authority held on 21/05/2015 vide item no.74 and the decision on the application was adjourned by directing the Secretary, RTA to re-enquire the matter in detail and report whether the advantage is outweighed the disadvantage with adequate statistical evidence and place a detailed specific report in the next RTA. This authority had re-considered the matter and perused the documents including the revised report of the enquiry officer. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, the curtailment will adversely affect the travelling public of the area . Thus the request for variation does not comply with the Section 80(3) of MV Act 1988 and is not maintainable. Hence this authority **rejected** the request for variation submitted by the permit holder of the stage carriage KL 10 Y 9099.

Item No. 55

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to reconsider the application for regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-P-963 operating on the route Kizhisseri-Manjei. The request is for providing additional trip between Manjeri and Thrippanachi. The matter was placed before this authority held on 21/05/2015 and adjourned the decision by directing the Secretary to submit a specific time sheet with all proposed trips and place before next RTA. This authority had re-considered the matter and perused the documents including the revised report of the enquiry officer. As per the report of the route enquiry

officer, the change in the existing timings will affect the travelling facilities of the public especially the student community. Thus the request for variation does not comply with the Section 80(3) of MV Act 1988 and is not maintainable. Hence this authority **rejected** the request for variation submitted by the permit holder of the stage carriage KL 10 P 963.

Item No. 56

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for variation of regular permit by extending the route from Parappanangadi to Alungal Beach and also for the temporary permit for four months u/s 87(1) c in the variation sought route in respect of the Stage Carriage KL 55 A 1743 on the route Koottai Kadalundi Nagaram. This has considered the application and perused the relevant documents including the directions contained in the Judgment of Honorable High Court of Kerala in WP(C) No.15977/2015. The report of the enquiry officer revealed that the proposed route passes through ill served area. Hence the grant of variation of permit will be beneficial to travelling public and students. Thus the request for variation complies with Section 80(3) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for variation is **granted** subject to production of current records of KL 55 A 1743 within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Since there are other stage carriages operating through this route issuing temporary permit without settlement of timings will affect their service and the same will result in unhealthy competition and accidents. Hence this authority **rejected** the application for temporary permit u/s 87(1) c.

Item No. 57

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to consider the variation of regular permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 55 F 6219 operating on the route of Koottai Azhimugham- Kuttipuram. The permit holder applied for change of halting place from Koottai to Koottai Azhimugham, by providing additional trip in between Koottai and koottai Azhimugham by extending existing halting trip on the existing regular route without changing existing timings and without curtailment. This authority has considered the application and verified connected files. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposal to extend the halting trip from Koottai to Koottai Azhimugham will benefit travelling public and the variation sought will be advantageous to the travelling public of the Koottai to Koottai Azhimugham sector. Koottai to koottai Azhimugham is less served fishermen area. Thus the request for variation complies with Section 80(3) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for variation is **granted** subject to production of current records of KL 55 F 6219 within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to

settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 58

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application to re-consider the application for variation of the permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 10 Q 9163 on the Route Puthupramd-Kadampuzh Touching Cholakund and Kottapura. The application was considered earlier by this authority and adjourned the decision by directing the Secretary, RTA to re-enquire the matter and obtain a specific report and also to obtain the road fitness certificate for the virgin portion. The matter was re-considered by this authority and perused the connected files including the report of the route enquiry officer. As per the revised report of the enquiry officer, the proposed extension passes through Manjemad Bridge, it is highly beneficial to general public living in that area, since there is no stage carriage service at present. It is also noticed that the curtailment of trips on Puthuparamba-Kottakkal and Kottakkal to Cholakkund is not having much effect on that area since there is enough stage carriages are operating service on this route. The road fitness certificate for the virgin portion is also seen produced. Thus the request for variation complies with Section 80(3) of MV Act 1988. Hence the application for variation is **granted** subject to production of current records of KL 10 Q 9163 within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of this variation will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

Item No. 59

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 60

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 61

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the

neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 62
Heard the learned counsel.
Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTA Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 63
Heard the learned counsel.
Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 64
Heard the learned counsel.
Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 65
Heard the learned counsel.
Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 66
Heard the learned counsel.
Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 67
Heard the learned counsel.
Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 68
Heard the learned counsel.
Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad unless the class of the stage carriage is not a Super Class service after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 69
Heard the learned counsel.
Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

Item No. 70
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 71
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 72
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 73

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 74
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 75
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 76
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 77
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 78
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 79
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 80
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 81
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 82
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 83
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 84
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 85
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 86
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 87
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 88
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 89
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 90
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 91
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 92
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 93
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 94
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 95
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 96
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 97
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 98
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 99
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 100
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 101
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 102
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 103
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 104
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 105
The applicants were absent for the public hearing of RTA and hence the decision on the application for transfer of permit is **adjourned.**

Item No. 106
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 107
The applicants were absent for the public hearing of RTA and hence the decision on the application for transfer of permit is **adjourned.**

Item No. 108
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 109
The applicants were absent for the public hearing of RTA and hence the decision on the application for transfer of permit is **adjourned.**

Item No. 110
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No.111
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 112
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 113
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 114
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 115
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 116
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 117
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 118
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 119
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 120
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 121
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 122
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 123
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 124
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 125
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 126
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 127
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 128
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 129
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 130
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 131
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 132
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 133
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 134
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 135
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 136
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 137
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 138
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 139
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 140
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 141
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 142
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 143
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 144
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 145
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 146
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 147
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 148
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 149
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 150
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 151
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 152
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 153
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 154
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 155
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 156
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 157
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 158
The applicants were absent for the public hearing of RTA and hence the decision on the application for transfer of permit is **adjourned.**

Item No. 159
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 160
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 161
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 162
The applicants were absent for the public hearing of RTA and hence the decision on the application for transfer of permit is **adjourned.**

Item No. 163
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 164
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 165
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 166
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 167
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 168
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 169
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the **endorsement of lease agreement in the Registration Certificate** of the vehicle after obtaining no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 170
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 171
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 172
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 173
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 174
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 175
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 176
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 177
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 178
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 179
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 180
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 181
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 182
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 183
Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

Item No. 184
Granted Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 .

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1

Item No. 185
Granted Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009.

Item No. 186
Granted Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009.

Item No. 187
Granted Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009.

Item No. 188
Granted Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 .

Item No. 189
Granted Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 .

Item No. 190
Granted Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009.

Item No. 191
This is an application to re-consider the request of sister RTA Vadagara for the concurrence for **Renewal** of regular permit in respect of S/C KL 46 F 5879 (C8/84282/2013/V) Operating on the route Nadapuram – Kozhikkode – Guruvayoor as LSFP for a period of 5 years. The matter was placed before this authority held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 154 and the decision on the matter was adjourned subject to the decision of the Hon'ble High Court in the pending cases and further orders from Government in this matter. Now the permit holder Mr. Muraleedharan, Alikan House, Kanippayyur, Thrissur has placed a request to re-consider the matter by the RTA. He has stated that the same application was considered and concurrence was granted by RTA, Thrissur on 28.11.2014 in item S -1. In this context, as per the request of the permit holder, this authority has re-considered the application and perused the documents in this regard.
Now the Hon. High Court upheld the notification preventing the private stage carriages to operate as Super Class Services such as Fast Passenger, Limited

Stop Fast Passenger, Express etc. Hence the application for concurrence for renewal as LSFP is **rejected**. The permit holder is under liberty to apply for conversion of the service as LSOS as permitted by the Government.

Item No. 192

Heard the representative of the applicant.

This is a request submitted by the Secretary, Vengara Grama Panchayath for suspending temporarily the issuance of fresh permits to Autorikshaws with parking place at Vengara. He has stated that the place is over populated with Autorikshaws and issuance of more permits will create traffic blocks and other problems in the town. This authority has considered the application and the report forwarded by the Joint Regional Transport Officer, Sub-RT Office, Thirurangadi. As per Section 74 (3) of Motor Vehicles Act, only the State Government is empowered to restrict contract carriage permits in a particular place/area. Hence this authority is not entitled to impose the restriction as sought for. The Hon: High court is also instructed to take individual considerations in such cases. Hence the secretary/delegate is permitted to take consideration of the request while granting permits in such areas in accordance with law.

Item No. 193

Heard the applicants.

This is an application to consider the request for maintain the present status-quo regarding the traffic operations (especially of the stage carriages) in Malappuram Town. The applicants stated that there is proposal before the traffic regulating committee to change the present traffic system of stage carriages in Malappuram town. They complained that the traffic regulatory committee did not convene a full quorum meeting and not heard their grievances. They have objections in changing the present system.

A traffic regulatory committee should have to convene a full quorum meeting of all members, representative of KSRTC and the aggrieved party if any and to reach a consensus proposal before changing any existing system. This consensus proposal is to be placed before the RTA for approval. Hence the secretary is directed to give instructions to the traffic regulatory committee to make such arrangements before changing the existing system.

Item No. 194

Heard the applicant.

This is a common application to consider the request for giving instructions to Traffic Regulatory Committees for obtaining approval from this authority before implementing traffic re-arrangements (especially related to stage carriages). This is a common complaint against the decisions of some of Traffic Regulatory Committees of the district to re-arrange the route of stage carriages inside the city limit. As per the complaint, this change in route of stage carriages is causing irreparable loss and hardship to the stage carriage operators. This

authority is of the opinion that while deciding the traffic regulations the respective committee should make available all its members, the representatives of KSRTC and all aggrieved parties and shall reach a consensus proposal and get the approval of the RTA before implementing any traffic system. This shall be communicated to all traffic regulatory committees to avoid unnecessary chaos in the implementation of traffic systems.

Item No. 195

This is an application to re-consider the fare stage on the Kuttippuram-Puthanathani (via Athavanad, Mattummal) route. This authority has considered this application in its meetings held on 20.10.2005 in item no. 41 and again on 18.09.2014 in item no. 147. A decision has already been taken and as per the report of the enquiry officer, the same is already implemented. If the applicant has any dispute on the decision taken by this authority, he is under liberty to approach the appellate authority. Hence this authority is of the opinion that this application is not maintainable. Secretary, RTA is directed to watch the implementation the decision of this authority held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 147.

Item No. 196

Heard the applicants.

This is an application to re-fix the fare stages in Manjeri – Mukkam Angadi (via Payyanad – Stadium - Mukkam). The matter was placed before this authority held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 148 and adjourned the decision on the application with a direction to the Secretary, RTA to conduct a detailed enquiry on this matter and publish the revised fare stages inviting objections, if any from the affected parties. This authority has re-considered the matter and perused the connected files. The report of the Route Enquiry Officer was found genuine and maintainable. The proposed fare stages were published in the notice board and no objections were received. Hence the revised fare stage is **approved** as follows

Revised Fare Stages

0 Manjeri (SH) Bus Stand	0 Kms
1 Malamkulam	1.7 Kms
2 Mukkam Angadi	4.8 Kms
3 Pilakkal	6.1 Kms

The secretary, RTA is directed to ensure the implementation of the decision.

Item No. 197

Heard the applicants.

This is an application to consider the re-fixation of fare stage on the Valancherry – Karekkad – Mukkilappedika – V.T. Padi – Anakkuzhiyal-Kadampuzha route. The matter was enquired by the MVI, Tirur and submitted the proposal with a revised set of fare stages. A notice was published inviting objections, if any on the proposed fare stages on the route. There were no major objections were received. In these circumstances, this authority has

considered the application and relevant records in detail. This authority is convinced that the proposed fare stages were genuine and maintainable. Hence the fare stages on the route Valancherry – Karekkad – Mukkilappedika – V.T. Padi – Anakkuzhiyal - Kadampuzha has been **re-fixed** as follows:

Revised fare stages

0 Valancherry	0 Kms
1 Thandalam	2.8 Kms
2 C.K. Para	2.8 Kms
3 P.T. Padi	2.7 Kms
4 Anakkuzhiyal	1.9 Kms
5 Kadampuzha	1.9 Kms

The secretary, RTA is directed to ensure the implementation of the decision.

Item No. 198

Heard the applicants.

This is an application for a Bus Stop (Ordinary, Limited Stop and Fast Passenger) near to Selva Care Asylum on the Manjeri – Pandikkad Road. The matter was enquired and the report has been submitted. The route enquiry officer was suggested for an Ordinary Bus Stop near to Selva Care Asylum. Hence the request for a Bus stop near Selva Care Asylum on the Manjeri – Pandikkad Road is **approved** for Ordinary Stage Carriage Services.

Departmental Item. 1

Ratified.

1. Sri. T. Bhaskaran IAS, Chairman of RTA, Malappuram. Sd/-

2. Sri. V. Sureshkumar, Deputy Transport Commissioner (C Z 1) sd/-
   Member, RTA Malappuram.

Sd/-
Chairman

Sd/-
Member 1