

**Decision of Regional Transport Authority (RTA), Malappuram Meeting held on**

**04.02.2015 at Collectorate Conference Hall, Malappuram at 10.30.a.m.**

**Item No.1**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh regular permit was considered by earlier RTA held on 18/09/2014 in item no 21 and adjourned for the road fitness certificate for the virgin portions of the route from Panampetta Bridge to Panampetta colony and also for a specific report regarding the distance from variations portions overlaps with notified route. The Secretary has reported that the road fitness certificate has been obtained from the concerned authority for the above said virgin portion. However as per the fitness certificate, road from Panampatta Bridge to Panampatta Colony (1.5 Kms) is fit for operation of mini buses. Also, the revised report of the enquiry officer specifically mentioned about various portions overlapping with notified portion and the total overlapping is within the permitted limit. There were objections that the major portion of the route is over saturated and on timing clashes with existing en-route operators and this authority feels that the same can be settled through timing conferences. In the above circumstances regular permit is **granted for LMV stage carriage** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.2**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh regular permit was considered by earlier RTA held on 12/11/2014 in item no 9 and adjourned for the road fitness certificate for the virgin portion of the route from Narokkavu to Mathilpara via Kariyanthodu and Schoolkunnu on a distance of 4.3 kms and also for a revised fixation of fare stages in the virgin portion. As per the report of the Secretary, RTA and on verification of concerned office files, it is seen that road fitness certificate for the virgin portion has been obtained from the concerned authority and the fare stages in the virgin portion has been re-fixed and is acceptable. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.3**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh regular permit was considered by earlier RTA held on 18/09/2014 in item no 39 and 12/11/2014 in item no. 15 and adjourned for specific report regarding the details of fare stages in virgin portion. It is found that the revised report on fare stages is feasible and hence, regular permit is **granted** to KL 09 K 2987 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within a period of one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to

settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.4**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh stage carriage permit is on the route Kalikavu – KozhikkodePalayam Bus stand. The applicant intends to operate as a Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS) on the above said route. As per the report of the enquiry officer, portion of the route from Ernhimavu to Peruvayal is not sufficiently served by private buses and the entire route is moderately served by stage carriages. He has also reported that several educational institutions are located in the proposed route. This authority discussed the matter in detail and feels that by limiting the number of stops common public and travelling students will not be benefited. Moreover, there is no category of service as Limited Stop Ordinary Service in MV Act and rules. Since this is an inter-district route, concurrence from Sister RTA, Kozhikkode is also essential. In the above circumstances, Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain a modified application from the applicant as Ordinary Service and seek concurrence from Sister RTA Kozhikkode and place it before next RTA. Hence **adjourned**.

**Item No.5**

Heard the learned counsel.

This application for fresh stage carriage permit is on the route Kuttipuram – Puthanathani. There is vehement objection stating that there are three different routes from Athavanad to Kuttipuram and the intermediate points are not clearly mentioned in the proposed time schedule. Hence the decision on the application is **adjourned** the Secretary RTA is directed to obtain a modified time schedule with intermediate points in each trip and place before next RTA.

**Item No.6**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh stage carriage permit on the route Puthanathani – Kuttipuram – Thirunavaya. There were objections on timing clash with existing operators and the same can be settled by convening a timing conference. Hence, regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.7**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for a fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pookkottumpadam – Kizhisserri. As per the report of the enquiry officer the frequency of service in Pookkottumpadam – Wandoor sector of the route is 30 minutes and as per the proposal, the operation on this route is only one trip during night. This authority feels that more number of trips are required through and the Secretary RTA is directed to obtain a revised application with more number of trips to Pookkottumpadam and place before next RTA. Hence the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

**Item No.8**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Vazhakkad – Mukkam. Part of the route lies in Kozhikkode District. As per the report of the enquiry officer, an overlapping of ten kilometers in Kozhikkode District and the same can be included in general concurrence. There is vehement objection stating that the total distance passing through the neighboring district, Kozhikkode is more than ten Kilometers and need concurrence from Sister RTA, Kozhikkode. Hence Secretary, RTA is directed to conduct a re-enquiry in this matter and place before next RTA. Hence the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

**Item No.9**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route KaradSchoolpadi – Wandoor – Pookkottumpadam – Kanhirampadam. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, average time gap is about one hour and the introduction of a new stage carriage will be beneficial to the general public. More over the part of the route passes through tribal settlements of NilamburTaluk. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.10**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Thirunavaya – Kadungathukunde – Athavanadpara – Tirur. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is no overlapping with the notified sector and there is no virgin portion on the route and he has stated that the introduction of a new service will be highly beneficial to the common travelling public. Hence regular permit is **granted** to KL 11 M 6444subject to production of current records of the above said vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.11**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Narukkumpotti – Bhoodhanam Colony – Manchakkode. As per the report of the route enquiry officer there is virgin portion from Panamkayam Bridge to Bhoodan Colony (3.3 Kms) road Fitness Certificate was obtained. The fare stages fixed for the virgin portion was found feasible. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.12**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Mathalpara – Edakkara (via) Schoolkunnu, Kariyanthodu, Narokkavu, Palemad, Changaramkulam, Millumpadi and Musiliyarangadi . As per the report of the route enquiry officer there is virgin portion Narokkavu – Mathalppara (4.3 Kms) and the road Fitness Certificate has been produced. Fare stages suggested by the MVI were found feasible. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.13**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pothukallu – Chembra Colony. The Motor Vehicle Inspector reported that there is virgin portion from Panamkayam to Chembra Colony (6.6 Kms) and a portion of the route from Bhoothanam Colony to Vaidyarangadi measuring 300 Mts. is not black topped. Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain road fitness certificate for the virgin portion mentioned above and place the application before the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No.14**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Edavanna Bus Stand – Wandoor. There was objection raised by en-route operators on violation of notified scheme. However the Motor Vehicle Inspector specifically reported that the total overlapping on nationalized route was 500 Mts. (Edavanna Bus stand to CPA Junction) and which is not objectionable. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.15**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Thachamparambu – Areacode – Edavanna – Veettikkunnu Colony. Proposed route is through ill served areas traversing just 1 Km. through the notified route and which is not objectionable. At present there is no bus service to Veettikkunnu Colony, an interior and isolated area. District Secretary, AdivasiKshemaSamiti has also submitted a representation highlighting the travelling difficulties of the inhabitants of Veettikkunne Madam KalluvariGirijan Colony. In these circumstances regular permit is **granted** to KL 11 R 7009 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.16**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Kavalappara – Pothukallu. The Motor Vehicle Inspector reported that there is virgin portion from Panamkayam Bridge to Kavalappara – 4.3 Kms. Secretary, RTA is directed to obtain road fitness certificate for the virgin portion mentioned above and place the application before the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No.17**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Vellakkatta – KarakkodePutharippadam. The route enquiry officer reported that there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. The average frequency of bus service on the route is 30 to 40 minutes. He has also reported that the proposed route is passing through interior, ill-served areas and the introduction of a new bus service will be beneficial to the public. There are timing objections from an existing operator and the Secretary, RTA is directed to hear the objections during timing conference and take a decision in accordance with law. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.18**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Kannathumpara – Kizhisseri. As per the report of the route enquiry officer there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with the notified sector. He has also reported that the proposed route passes mainly through ill served areas and the introduction of a new service will be beneficial to the travelling public. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.19**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Erumamunda – Konnamanna – Wandoor. Proposed route is through ill served areas traversing just 2 Kms. through the notified route and which is not objectionable. The average frequency of service is thirty minutes. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.20**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Edavanna Bus Stand – Pookkottumpadam. Motor Vehicle Inspector reported that the proposed route overlaps with notified sector from Edavanna Bus stand to CPA Junction (500 Mts.) and which is not objectionable. Average frequency of service is more than 30 minutes. Hence regular permit is **granted** to KL 10 S 7195 subject to production of current records of the above said vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.21**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Vengara – Koottumalapparambu. As per the report of the route enquiry officer the proposed route is mainly through ill served areas and presently there is a time gap of 45 minutes. Hence regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.22**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pookkottumpadam – Wandoor. The applicant has produced the registration certificate of the stage carriage KL 10 U 9606.

1. The specific line of traverse is not clear from the proposed time sheet and sketch. For example the exact route and intermediate points are not mentioned in the morning trip from Wandoor to Kalikavu (Dep. From Wandoor 7.45 a.m.).
2. From the application and sketch it is found that this route is not having any specific termini and number of trips in a particular route.

This authority feels that the entire route, sketch and time sheet is vague and is not beneficial for the common public to meet their travelling needs. Hence

1. The applicant is directed to submit a modified application denoting specific route, intermediate points, termini and time schedule and
2. The Secretary, RTA is directed to conduct a fresh route enquiry on the modified application and place before next RTA.

Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No.23**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pookkottor High School at Aravankara – Manjeri. The applicant has produced the registration certificate of stage carriage KL 13 J 1800.

As per the report of Motor Vehicle Inspector

1. This route will serve the common public and students who are travelling to Community Health , Panchayath Office, Krishi Bhavan, Pokkottor High School, A.U.P. School, Ma'din Edu Park, Al-Abir Medical College Hospital etc.

2. There are public representations, request from Grama Panchayath President and Pookkottor Govt. High School to initiate bus service on the proposed route

There were objections from senior operators on time clash in East Pandikkad – Manjeri and Pookkottor - Manjeri Sectors. This authority feels that this can be settled during timing conference.

Hence regular permit is **granted** under public interest to KL 13 J 1800 subject to production of current records of the above vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No.24**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pothuvettipara – Mampuram – University. The applicant has produced the registration certificate of the stage carriage KL 10 L 6787.

There were many objections on the condition of the road proposed by the applicant. Major objections raised were:

1. Objectionably overlaps with notified sector from BSNL Junction to Kondotty and from Kohinoor to University
2. No road fitness for the road Mundakkulam to Pothuvettippara
3. No road from Kumminiparamba to University via Pallikkal Bazar.

Even though the Motor Vehicle Inspector reports that there is no objectionable overlapping and no virgin portion, on the basis of the objections raised by the public and senior operators this authority feels that one more enquiry on the objections raised is required. In these circumstances, the secretary, RTA is directed to obtain a specific report on the objections raised and place before the next RTA. Hence decision on this application is **adjourned**.

#### **Item No.25**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Pandikkad – Wandoor. The field officer reported that this proposed route through the tribal settlement is ill-served area and there is no overlapping in the notified sector and no virgin portion. Hence the regular permit is **granted** subject to production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

#### **Item No.26**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Kizhisserri – Mavoor. There is objection from the part of the senior operators that there is restriction for issuing fresh permit in Mavoor Road. On perusal, it is found that vehicle is not operating in the sector Mavoor Road. There is no overlapping in the notified sector and no virgin portion. Hence the regular permit is granted subject to production of

current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of communication of the decision failing which the grant of the regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice and subject to settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No.27**

Heard the learned counsel.

This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the route Elambra – Manjeri – Pookkootumpadam – Porur. This authority has verified the application, time schedule and sketch submitted and it is found that there is no specific route or routes for conducting the service. The applicant has proposed several trips from point to point without specifying a particular route or routes. The intention of the service as per the proposal and route does not serve the travelling requirement of a particular area. Hence the applicant is directed to submit a modified application with specific route or routes with considerable number of trips and place before next RTA.

Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No.28**

Heard and pursued the documents. The Regular Permit **granted** subject to production of a time schedule in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time.

**Item No. 29**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application to consider regular variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-P-963 operating on the route Kizhisseri-Manjei. As per the report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, the route is ill-served. As per the application, the request is for curtailment of night trips in the ill-served sector. Also, as per the report, adding more trips during day time will be beneficial for the public; but he couldn't establish how the additional trips in the day time will compensate the curtailment in the night trip in the ill-served area. He has not even produced any statistical evidence to support his claim. This authority strongly feels that this report is not supportive to take a proper approach to the application. Hence the Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire the matter in detail and report whether the advantage is outweighed the disadvantage with adequate statistical evidence and place a detailed specific report in the next RTA. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 30**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application to **re-consider** the application for regular variation of service in respect of the stage carriage KL-60-3099 operating on the route Thaliyamkundu-Kozhikkode. The route enquiry officer in his detailed report stated that the applicant is not intended to alter/restrict any of the existing stops while converting the nature of service from Ordinary Service to Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). The name LSOS indicates that ordinary service with limited number of stops. As the applicant is not intended to change or limit the number of stops invariably no change in nature of service is required. Hence on public interest, this authority is convinced that no change in category of service is required in this case. Hence the application for change in existing category of service is against Section 83(3) of Motor Vehicles Act and **rejected**.



**Item No. 31**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application to consider the regular variation of service in respect of the stage carriage KL-58-B-6687 operating on the route Kottappara –Anjilangadi, Kozhikkode Medical college. The request is for the curtailment of two single trips during late evening time at Pandikkad and Manjeri. The curtailment will change the timings also. Field Officer reported that the change in timings will adversely affect the travelling public of late evening trips especially the short distant passengers. Hence this authority is convinced that the request is against the provisions of Section 83(3) of MV act and hence the application for variation is **rejected**.

**Item No. 32**

Heard and pursued the documents. This to consider the application for regular variation of service in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-Q-7060 operating on the route Kozhikkode-Karakkode. The proposed variation includes curtailment of trips in the notified sector Kozhikkode – Manjeri. This authority feels that the curtailment of a major portion in the notified sector by a private stage carriage will deprive the interest of common people travelling through the route, since there is no chance to provide a further traveling facility by proving another private stage carriage permit or by variation or extension of an existing permit due to the restrictions for introducing new permits through the notified route for private stage carriages. Under these circumstances, it is evident that the variation will seriously affect the travelling facilities of the public and hence the application for variation is **rejected**.

**Item No. 33**

Heard and pursued the documents. This application is to consider the application for regular variation of service in respect of the stage carriage KL-07-AZ-1243 operating on the route Malappuram-Paravoor, Vettilappara. The applicant has requested for additional trip between Areacode and Kondotty via Kizhisseri in the evening. Instead of proposing additional time in the variation portion the applicant shuffled the entire timings from the starting trip itself. This authority feels that through this variation application, the intention of the applicant is to shuffle his entire existing timings. Hence the applicant is directed to submit a modified application with timings for the additional trips only in the next RTA. The decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 34**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application to re-consider the application for regular variation of service in respect of the stage carriage KL-10-AB-5458 operating on the route Mundumuzhi-Kozhikkode so as to convert the existing category of service from Limited Stop Ordinary Service to Ordinary service on the existing regular route. On further verification this authority feels that the variation is not affecting the present service and will be beneficial for the public. Hence the application for variation is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings in compliance with the order no D3/875/STA/2005 dated 08/11/2011 of STA regarding running time. Further, this variation will be effected only after the settlement of timings and the stage carriage operator is directed to revise the existing stops by including all approved bus stops on the route. Secretary RTA is directed to endorse necessary changes in the permit document of the stage carriage.

**Item No. 35**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application to consider the application for regular variation of service for the stage carriage KL-10-R-1137 operating on the route Manjeri-Nilambur. The permit holder has applied for variation of permit so as to deviate 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> single trips via Anchachavidi instead of via Poongode on the Kalikavu –Wandoor sector on the existing regular route. As per analysis, the requested curtailment is in the ill-served portion of the existing regular route. This authority feels that this will adversely affect the travelling public and this will be against the conditions stipulated in 83(3) of MV Act. Hence this application for variation is **rejected**.

**Item No. 36**

Heard and pursued the Judgment from honorable High Court of Kerala in WP(C) No.28828/2014. The permit holder applied to deviate 4 single trips on the Tirur-Thirunavaya sector via Ezhur, Vyrankode and Pattarnadakkavu instead of via B.P AngadiAlathiyur and Thruprangode to avoid notified sector and change of halting place from Kuttippuram to Tirur on the existing regular route and also applied for 4 months TP u/s 87(1)c in the variation sought route. As per the GO (P) No.3/2014/Trans. dated. 22/01/2014 (notification No.8154/b1/12/Trans dated 24/01/2013) there is no restriction for private stage carriages to operate through the notified portion till the expiry of the permit. In this case, since the permit is valid up to 2018, there is no need to curtail or deviate the existing route because of Ponnani – Chelari notification. Hence the decision on this application is **adjourned** till the expiry of R.P.

This authority could not find any urgent temporary need in the sector and hence the application for temporary permit u/s 87(1) c is **rejected**.

**Item No. 37**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application to consider the variation of permit in respect of the Stage Carriage KL 55 L 8082 on the route Tirur-Puthanathani. The permit holder applied to deviate the starting single trip from tirur to puthanathani (via)ezhur, vyrangode, Pattarnadakkavu instead of via iringavur and kadungathukundu without changing existing timing on the existing regular route. From the report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, the effect of the variation to the travelling public is not clear. He has not measure the intensity of services through the existing and proposed routes. This authority feels that the report is vague and unclear. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to enquire the matter once again and submit a specific report with adequate statistical evidences and place before next RTA. The decision on this application is **adjourned**.

**Item No. 38**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 39**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 40**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 41**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 42**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Thrissur after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No. 43**

Heard the learned counsel.

Secretary, RTA is directed to renew the permit or to seek concurrence from Sister RTAs, Kozhikkode and Palakkad after verifying the route length in the neighboring district(s) in accordance with decision of STA Kerala held on 27.05.2014. Item No. 21.

**Item No.44**

This is an application to consider the application for granting permission for hire agreement replacement in respect of S/C KL-55-C-7688 by S/C KL-08-AY-252 operating on the route Kadalundi-Kozhikkode. Hire agreement replacement is **granted** subject to the endorsement of the same in the registration certificate.

**Item No. 45**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 46**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 47**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 48**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 49**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 50**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 51**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 52**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 53**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 54**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 55**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 56**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 57**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 58**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 59**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 60**

Heard the applicant and transfer of permit (by death) **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 61**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 62**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 63**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 64**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 65**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 66**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 67**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 68**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 69**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 70**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 71**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 72**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 73**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 74**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 75**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 76**

Heard both the applicants and transfer of permit **allowed** subject to the production of no objection certificate from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Govt. dues, if any.

**Item No. 77**

Persuaded the Judgment from Hon. STAT, Ernakulam. **Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 78**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 79**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 80**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 81**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 82**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 83**

**Granted** Renewal concurrence without prejudice to the right of primary authority in deciding the nature of service according to route length subject GO (P) No. 42/2009/TRAN dtd 14.7.2009 and the disposal of WP© 20520/2009 and 22196/2009 of Hon'ble High Court.

**Item No. 84**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application for re-fixation of fare stages in the existing route Kottakkal – Vengara (Via Iringalloor). The Convener, IringallurPouraSamiti had requested to change the existing fare stage from Iringallur to Palani on the route from Kottakkal to Vengara. The application was placed before RTA held on 18.09.2014 in item no. 146 for want of detailed enquiry report. As per the report of the field officer, the existing fare stage Veenalungal has to be re-fixed to Parappur School Junction for getting more traveling distance to the public. He has also reported that, if the existing fare stage at Iringallur is shifted to Palani, will adversely affect people who are travelling from Vengara to Iringallur on the downward journey. This is against public interest and this authority feels that the request to shift the present fare stage from Iringallur to Palani is not feasible. Considering the request of the applicant to get more travelling distance for the public from Kottakkal to

Iringallur sector on the onward journey shifting of Veenalungal stage to Parappur School Junction is found feasible. Motor Vehicle Inspector who has enquired the matter also reported that Iringallur is a fixed fare stage and an important place on this route and it is not feasible to change the existing fare stage at Iringallur. Hence the proposal of the enquiry office is found advantages to the travelling public and the fare stages are re-fixed as:

- |                      |           |           |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| ○ Kottakkal          | -0        |           |
| ○ Parappur School Jn | - Stage 1 | - 2.3 Kms |
| ○ Iringallur         | - Stage 2 | - 4.2 Kms |
| ○ Kottapparambu      | - Stage 3 | - 5.7 Kms |
| ○ Vengara            | - Stage 4 | - 8.6 Kms |

Secretary, RTA is directed to publish the fare stage and make arrangements to implement the new fare stage.

#### **Item No. 85**

Heard and pursued the documents including the Judgment from Hon. High Court of Kerala. The request is for changing the existing bus stop for ordinary bus stop at Poovanchina (between Chenakkal and Puthanathani N H 17) to a bus stop for Limited Stop Ordinary, Fast Passenger and Super-Fast bus services (all buses). As far as private stage carriages are concerned, list stops for Limited Stop Ordinary Service and Fast Passenger Services are proposed by the applicant at the time of applying for the permit. The petitioner has not mentioned the private stage carriages which are intended to be stopped at Poovanchina. If there are certain stage carriages which are to be stopped at Poovanchina at present the Secretary can take action to stop such stage carriages in this stop. For KSRTC buses, the stops are decided by the State Transport Corporation and the petitioner is free to approach the corporation for remedial steps.

#### **Item No. 86**

Heard and pursued the documents. The request is for changing the existing bus stop at Moodal (N H 17 between Kuttipuram and Valancherry) for ordinary bus stop to a bus stop for Limited Stop Ordinary, Fast Passenger and Super-Fast bus services (all buses). As far as private stage carriages are concerned, list stops for Limited Stop Ordinary Service and Fast Passenger Services are proposed by the applicant at the time of applying for the permit. The petitioner has not mentioned the private stage carriages which are intended to be stopped at Moodal. If there are certain stage carriages which are to be stopped at Moodal at present the Secretary can take action to stop such stage carriages in this stop. For KSRTC buses, the stops are decided by the State Transport Corporation and the petitioner is free to approach the corporation for remedial steps.

#### **Item No. 87**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application for the approval for construction of a Bus Waiting Shed at Parappanangadi (Tirur – Kadalundi Road side; Opposite to Grama Panchayath Office Complex). The permission is **granted** to construct a Bus Waiting Shed at Parappanangadi (Tirur – Kadalundi Road side; Opposite to Grama Panchayath Office Complex) as per the proposed plan.



**Item No. 88**

Heard and pursued the documents and judgment from Hon. High Court of Kerala. This is an application to consider the request for providing necessary sanction to operationalize the Private Bus stand constructed at Puthanathani, by AthavanadGramma Panchayath. As per the report of the field officer 'making the Bus stand operational is the best way for limiting the traffic block and also enhancing road safety.' He has also reported that weather proof covering for buses and passengers are not provided and toilet facilities are not adequate. However it is reported that making the bus stand operational will reduce the traffic block and hence this authority permits the bus stand to become operational subject to the modifications suggested by the field officer.

**Item No. 89**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application to consider the request for regularizing traffic improvement point 1 of the minutes of Traffic Improvement Committee, PerinthalmannaMunicipality held on 24.11.2014. Persuaded the file and other documents in detail and it is found that on 10.12.2014, a meeting was conducted under the presidentialship of District Collector, Malappuram and a temporary approval has been given for all stage carriages which are traversing from Angadippuram to Perinthalmanna to travel through Central Junction on an experiment bases for a period of one week. Also, as per the decision of the meeting District Collector was seen empowered to make any alteration in the proposed traffic re-arrangements if any kind of traffic difficulties are felt. From the file, it is understood that the proposed experimental traffic arrangement is seen applauded by the print media also and the said arrangement is still continuing smoothly. Hence this authority is in the feeling that this arrangement is feasible and has to be permitted to continue the temporary arrangement made. However this decision should be implemented in concurrence with the decision of the traffic regulatory committee, Perinthalmanna.

There was also a request for increasing the running time for all stage carriages traversing through earlier route suggested by the traffic regulatory committee. It is informed that it is not possible to allot increase in timing for all stage carriages travelling through Perinthalmanna town.

Hence the traffic regulatory committee of Perinthalmanna Municipality is permitted to make in force the stop-gap experimental modifications made for stage carriages subject to the approval of the committee.

**Item No. 90**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is a complaint against the unauthorized parking of Goods Autorikshaw and Four Wheel Vehicles in front of the petitioners' shops at Wandoor. As per rule 344 of KMVR, RTA is the competent authority to fix the parking places for various vehicles. In this case, Secretary has enquired the matter and reported that there are obstructions for customers to enter the shop room of Mr. Ali Akbar the first petitioner's shop if the vehicles are parking without keeping adequate space between vehicles. But, if the vehicles park parallel to the road, then there will not be any obstruction to the customers as well as pedestrians. In the case of shop room of Mr. V. Iqubal, the second petitioner, there is a road having not less on

12 feet width through the front side of the shop room. Hence this authority permits the local authority (Wandoor Grama Panchayath) to allot the parking place at a convenient manner as reported by the enquiry officer of Motor Vehicles Department in consultation with the local Police and Public Works Department Authorities.

**Item No. 91**

Heard and pursued the documents. This is an application to consider the complaint against the re-arrangements of traffic system by diverting the route of private stage carriages to operate through another road at Wandoor. As per the complaint, the Stage Carriage Services that were coming from Nilambur Road towards Manalimal Bus Stand of Wandoor was traversing through the traffic junction of Manjeri – Wandoor Road then enter Thrissur – Perinthamanna – Pandikkad Road then enter to Manalimal Padam Bus Stand and the distance is only 200 meters. Now, the Wandoor Traffic Regulatory Committee has decided a new route for Stage Carriages entering into Manalimal bus stand and by this new system, Stage Carriages has to travel 1.6 Kms by diverting through Nilambur road. As per the report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, Nilambur the decision of the regulatory committee is to reduce the vehicle density at Wandoor Junction and the modification has reduced the traffic jam at Wandoor Junction. This authority feels that even though the decision of the committee was to reduce the traffic jam of Wandoor junction the committee has not seen considered the other traffic users, except stage carriages. Also, it is seen that the decision was challenged by the applicants before the Hon. High Court.

Hence the traffic regulatory committee is directed to re-consider the modifications by hearing all aggrieved parties and place before this authority for final approval.

**Supplementary Item No. 1**

Ratified.

**Departmental Item No.1**

This is regarding the nature of action to be taken regarding various services like variation of permit condition, renewal of permit etc. on stage carriages operating through Ponnani – Chelari notified portion. In the Govt. letter No. 10689/B1/2014/Tran. Dtd. 20.12.2014 there is a direction to Regional Transport Authorities to take immediate action to implement the schemes notified as per SRO No. 555/2013. Hence the notification G.O. (P) No. 3/2014/Tran. Dtd. 22.01.2014 is in force and the Secretary; RTA can proceed with the conditions stipulated in the notification mentioned above.

**Departmental Item No.2**

Ratified.

- |  |      |
|--|------|
| 1. Sri. K. Biju IAS, District Collector & Chairman of RTA, Malappuram. | Sd/- |
| 2. Sri. Debesh Kumar Behera IPS, District Police Chief & Member, RTA   | Sd/- |
| 3. Sri. V. Sureshkumar, Deputy Transport Commissioner, Member RTA      | Sd/- |